

Chapter 4: Rulings and Cases

Substantial Authority	A151	Deductions.....	A173
Evaluation of Authorities	A151	Divorce Issues	A189
Nature of Analysis.....	A151	Foreign Income.....	A190
Substantial Authority Hierarchy.....	A151	Gross Income	A197
Judicial System for Tax Disputes	A153	Innocent Spouse.....	A207
Case Commencement	A153	IRS Procedures — Miscellaneous	A213
The U.S. Tax Court.....	A154	IRS Procedures — Penalties.....	A230
IRS Actions on Decision	A158	Passive Activities.....	A233
Business Expenses.....	A159	Retirement.....	A235
Capital Gains and Losses.....	A162	S Corporation	A242
Clergy	A168	Sales Tax.....	A249
Corporations	A170		
Credits	A172		

Please note. Corrections for all of the chapters are available at www.TaxSchool.illinois.edu. For clarification about acronyms used throughout this chapter, see the Acronym Glossary at the end of the Index.

For your convenience, in-text website links are also provided as shortURLs. Anywhere you see **uofi.tax/xxx**, the link points to the address immediately following in brackets.

Note. This chapter contains selected cases, revenue rulings, revenue procedures, Treasury regulations, announcements, and letter rulings issued during the past year, through approximately July 31, 2018. Each appears as a condensed version and should not be relied on as a substitute for the full document. A full citation appears for each item. This chapter is not intended to be a comprehensive coverage of all tax law changes. Rather, it reports the rulings and cases most likely to be of interest to tax professionals.

About the Authors

Kelly Golish, CPA, is a Tax Materials Specialist at the University of Illinois Tax School. She was previously a manager at Crowder, CPAs in Danville, IL and worked for both public and private accounting firms in Decatur, IL, Cleveland, OH, and San Jose, CA. Kelly earned a Masters in Accounting with an emphasis in Taxation and a Bachelors in Accounting at the University of Notre Dame.

Marshall J. Heap, PhD, EA, is a Tax Content Development and Instruction Specialist at the University of Illinois Tax School. An EA since 1984, Marshall is an ex-Senior Manager of PriceWaterhouseCoopers and has seven years of recent experience as an approved IRS continuing education provider. Marshall's academic background is in Computing and associated fields with degrees from the following UK Universities: The Open University (BSc), London, Birkbeck College (MSc), and Reading (PhD).

Marc C. Lovell, JD, LL.M, MS, has headed a private tax law practice since 2001 with principal practice areas in federal, state, local and international taxation and tax litigation. He served as Assistant Director, Tax Education and Outreach of the University of Illinois Tax School program from 2011 to 2016. In addition to his private practice, Marc heads a team of seven research and reference law librarians at the Department of Justice and the DOJ Main Library in Washington DC as a contractor through The Cadence Group. Marc obtained his JD and LL.M from Wayne State University Law School, and his MS in Library and Information Science from the University of Illinois.

Carolyn Schimpler, CPA, is Assistant Director, Tax Materials, at the University of Illinois Tax School. She joined Tax School in 2008, after holding a variety of positions in public accounting and private industry. She graduated with honors from Governors State University in 1988 and passed the CPA examination later that year. Carolyn serves as editor of the annual Federal Tax Workbook. She is a member of the Illinois CPA society.

Other chapter contributors and reviewers are listed at the front of this volume.

SUBSTANTIAL AUTHORITY

If there is substantial authority for a position taken on a tax return, neither the taxpayer nor the tax preparer will be subject to the penalty for underreporting income even if the IRS successfully challenges the position taken on the return. By contrast, if there is no substantial authority for a position taken on a tax return, the underreporting penalties may be imposed unless the position has been adequately disclosed and there is a reasonable basis for the position.

EVALUATION OF AUTHORITIES

There is substantial authority for the tax treatment of an item only if the weight of the authorities that support the treatment is substantial in relation to the weight of the authorities that support a contrary treatment.

- All the authorities relevant to the tax treatment of an item (including the authorities contrary to the treatment) are taken into account in determining whether substantial authority exists.
- The weight of the authorities is determined in light of the pertinent facts and circumstances. There may be substantial authority for more than one position with respect to the same item.
- Because the substantial authority standard is an objective one, the **taxpayer's belief** that there is substantial authority for the tax treatment of an item **is not relevant** in determining whether that is in fact true.

NATURE OF ANALYSIS

The weight accorded to an authority depends on its relevance and persuasiveness, as well as the type of document providing the authority. For example, a case or revenue ruling that has some facts in common with the tax treatment at issue is not particularly relevant if the authority is materially distinguishable on its facts or otherwise inapplicable to the tax treatment. An authority that merely states a conclusion ordinarily is less persuasive than one that reaches its conclusion by cogently relating the applicable law to pertinent facts. The weight of an authority from which information has been deleted (such as a private letter ruling) is diminished to the extent that the deleted information may have affected the authority's conclusions.

The type of document also must be considered. For example, a revenue ruling is accorded greater weight than a private letter ruling addressing the same issue. Private rulings, technical advice memoranda, general counsel memoranda, revenue procedures, and/or actions on decisions issued prior to the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) of 1986 generally must be accorded less weight than more recent ones.

There may be substantial authority for the tax treatment of an item despite the absence of certain types of authority. Thus, a taxpayer may have substantial authority for a position that is supported only by a well-reasoned construction of the applicable statutory provision.

SUBSTANTIAL AUTHORITY HIERARCHY

The following factors are considered in determining whether there is substantial authority for the tax treatment of an item, in descending order of authority.¹

- Applicable provisions of the IRC and other statutory provisions
- Temporary and final Treasury regulations construing such statutes

Note. A proposed regulation presents a **tentative** IRS position that may be changed when a temporary and/or final regulation is issued.

¹ Treas. Reg. §1.6662-4(d)(3)(iii).

- Revenue rulings
- Revenue procedures
- Tax treaties and regulations thereunder, and Treasury Department and other official explanations of such treaties
- Federal court cases interpreting such statutes
- Congressional intent, as reflected in committee reports
- Joint explanatory statements of managers included in congressional conference committee reports, and floor statements made prior to enactment by one of a bill's managers
- General explanations of tax legislation prepared by the Joint Committee on Taxation
- Letter rulings and technical advice memoranda issued after October 31, 1976
- Actions on decisions and general counsel memoranda issued after March 12, 1981
- IRS information or press releases, and notices, announcements, and other administrative pronouncements published by the IRS in the Internal Revenue Bulletin

Additional information on some of the preceding items follows.

Internal Revenue Code. Except where provisions violate the U.S. Constitution, IRC provisions are binding in all courts.

Treasury Regulations (Income Tax Regulations). The regulations are the Treasury Department's official interpretation and explanation of the IRC. Regulations have the force and effect of law unless they are in conflict with the statute they explain.

Revenue Rulings. The IRS is bound by the position taken in a revenue ruling. Revenue rulings that interpret Treasury regulations are entitled to substantial deference.

Letter Rulings and Technical Advice Memoranda (TAM). Private letter rulings and TAM are IRS rulings directed at particular taxpayers. A private letter ruling is issued for a fee. The IRS is bound to such a ruling only for the particular taxpayer who requested it. A TAM is issued in response to a request for a legal opinion.

Chief Counsel Advice (CCA). A CCA is an IRS ruling issued to IRS field operations by the Office of Chief Counsel. It may be directed at a particular taxpayer or a particular issue. Included in this category are various legal memoranda (e.g., Internal Legal Memoranda (**ILM**) and Litigation Guideline Memoranda (**LGM**)).

General Council Memoranda (GCM). GCMs detail the legal reasoning behind the issuance of a revenue ruling.

Service Center Advice (SCA). SCAs are issued by the IRS in response to a question coming from an IRS center. There are two types of SCAs: routine and significant. A **routine SCA** is answered by district counsel and not coordinated with the national office. A routine SCA is not issued to the public. A **significant SCA (SSCA)**, on the other hand, is issued only with the approval of the national office. An SSCA is not legal advice and addresses only the interpretation or application of the Internal Revenue laws. SSCAs are made public, but any information identifying taxpayers is deleted.

Tax Court Summary Opinions. A case decided under the small-case procedures cannot be appealed by the taxpayer or the IRS. Without the appeals process, incorrect legal interpretations by the Tax Court cannot be challenged. Therefore, the Tax Court's decision is binding only on that particular case. However, reviewing the cases can still be useful; they explain the IRS's arguments, the taxpayer's arguments, and the Tax Court's reasoning.